

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE and States Pagest and Trademark Office

mitted States Pastest and Trademark Office Street COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1629 Alexander, Vargues 22515-1469 mentalphage

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	PIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,649	10/26/2001	Paul S. Weiss	P05396US1	6169	
27407 7	590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER		
	MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. ATTN: PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY			SAGAR, KRIPA	
801 GRAND A	VENUE, SUITE 3200	T LINGIT I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IA 50309-2721				

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)
		10/032,6	49	WEISS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit
		Kripa Sa		1758
toriod fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this commun. or Reply</li> </ul>	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH THE I - Exter siter - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F: MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUND wished time my be evasible useful for positions of the my be evasible. Useful for positions SIX (b) MANTHS from the mailing date of this common present or reply posicife above, is to state thinly (at position for reply is specified above, it is maintain as it is part of the position of the reply in order of the reply the position of the po	CATION. of 37 GFR 1.138(a) In no e- sunication. O) days, a reply within the sta- statory period will apply and a	ent, however, may a replaced minimum of thirty	bly be timely filed (30) days will be considered limely. (50) they will be considered limely.
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 07 November	2003	
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is		
3)	Since this application is in condition			
- / -	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte C	uayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)	Claim(s) 1-59 and 69-72 is/are pend	ting in the annlication		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wardiami ii diii da	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
,	Claim(s) 1-59 and 69-72 is/are reject	ted		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wou.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or cination :	on iromost	
	on Papers	don diada dicada i	oquii omen.	
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)⊠⊺	The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 20	001 is/are: a)⊠ acce	oted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗆 1	The proposed drawing correction filed	i on is: a)□ a	pproved b) dis	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this O	ffice action.	
12)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
riority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n received in Ap	olication No
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal	of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT	ents have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
	ee the attached detailed Office action			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for			
	)  The translation of the foreign lan- acknowledgment is made of a claim for			
tachment		or domestic priority t	11001 33 0.3.0. 9	g izo gilalor izi.
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) T Interview Si	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice	s of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pl nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Pasons and Tri	aderrark Office gv. 04-01)	Office Action Summa	DV.	Part of Paper No. 20040106

Application/Control Number: 10/032,649 Art Unit: 1756

## DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

 The amendment flied with the request for continued examination has been entered. Claims 1,54,69 have been amended. Claims 71-72 have been newly added.
 No new matter has been introduced by the amendment. Claims 60-68 have been cancelled. Claims 1-58 69-72 are under consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 12(b), by another filled in the funded States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, or expect that an inventional applications and under the testagl offerind in section applicant for patent. On the patent of the patent of the patent of the patent of the patent patent of the patent of the

 Claims 1,54,69 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. 6436615 to Brandow et al.

Claims 1 54, 69,71 disclose a method of patterming a substrate using selective surface chemistry wherein: a surface is at least partially covered with a molecular layer having a first functionality; selectively reacting the "internal bonds" to form a stable laver/osttern with a second functionality. Brandow teaches that "surface reactivity templates" are known in prior-art (1,31-56). The reactivity template is formed by "selective modification" of a thin film on the surface of a substrate, the chemical modification is carried out by irradiation (2;58-64). Brandow's invention comprises providing a functional group on a substrate surface, exposing parts of the surface to actinic radiation to convert the exposed regions to photoproducts; the photoproducts are reactive to other functional groups (1,67 - 2;25). Brandow discloses patterning of molecular layers (Fig.1-3) that include positive and negative tone patterns. In one embodiment the reaction of the first functional group is forms a second functional group by photolysis (Fig.2A). The second functional group is receptive to grafting.

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. 5079600 to Schnur et al.

Schrur teaches coaling a substrate with a monolayer of radiation reactive material; pattermise exposing the material to form spatially spaced first and second areas of different reactivities that are stable; selectively adding another material to one of the areas (2,46-55). The different reactivity areas comprise different chemical moletles (8,27-31).

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. 5514501 to Tarlov

Tarlov teaches forming a thiol SAM on Au; selectively breaking internal bonds by patterned irradiation and addition of a second thiol layer to the photoreatced areas. (Fig.1-3). This is similar to the example cited by Applicant (instant specification; fig.1). Application/Control Number: 10/032,649 Art Unit: 1756

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the nonpatent publication of Lercel et al.

Lorcel teaches a method of patterning a substrate by coating the surface with a monolayer of alkytthiol or siloxanes; patterned exposure of the monolayer with scanning tunneling microscope to modify the chemical functionality of the terminal group (p.3663-3667). The monolayers may be selected for diverse substrates. It teaches that the organic component of the monolayer may be tailored to suit the specific application. (p.3663: Introduction).

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the nonpatent publication of Maoz et al.

Maoz teaches covering the surface of a substrate with a plurality of (NTS – silane) molecules and selectively reacting the internal bonds on the molecules to form a second functional group. An atomic force microscope tip is used to oxidize the terminal vinyl group. A second silane (OTS) is adsorbed in the modified areas (Fig.1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the liversion is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior aft are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the aft to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 2 – 53,55-58,70,72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandow in view of Keana and further in view of the non-patent nublication of Nuffenencer and converter /\*Scanning Proba Misses

publication of Nyffenegger and co-worker ("Scanning Probe Microscope", Chem. Rev. v97(4),(1997), pp.1195-1230).

The claims recite methods of forming the patterned layers using scanning probes and diverse energy sources, the substrates used as supports for the layers and the nature of the layers.

In additions to the teachings discussed above, Brandow teaches selective modification of a surface or a thin film covering the surface. The modification is a chemical reaction of the molecules on the surface, by Irradiation. The process may include a mask or direct writing may be used. The irradiation may be carried out using UV light, ion beam or soft X-rays. (2;50-3;4). Other sources such as electron beams are also viable (4;53-81). Direct chemical reaction is also known (3;19-27). Substrates such as glass (fused SiO2) and Si have been used for support (12;29-31). Scanning Tunneling (STM) and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) are used for selective patterning (3;5-32). The molecular layer may be attached to the substrate surface through diverse bonds that include chemical attachment and physisorption (3;5-8-4).

Brandow does not teach the use of scanning probe tools (SPM), thermal imaging, diverse substrates and geometric patterns. It does not specify nanolithography or cross-linking of functional groups.

Keana teaches diverse substrates that may be used for chemical modification (2; 27-35). The molecular layers may be modified by radiation, including electrons, photons and heat (2;36-46). The process may use a mask or direct scan (7;7-29). The process is used to form geometric patterns including stripes and nano-scale spheres (fig. 1,3).

Keana teaches forming functional groups that are cross-linkable by photons (20;48-57).

Keana does not teach the use of scanning probes for photon irradiation. This is a well-developed art as shown by the review of Nyffenegger. The lithographic application of Near Field Scanning Optical Microscopy (NSOM) is reviewed at length (p. 41) with the teachings of Bottomley particularly relevant to the claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Keana and Bottomley with those of Brandow because the Keana's teachings are in an analogous art and it teaches that its methods have wide applicability and can be carried out in a single step (2; 12-18); Bottomley teaches that scanning optical lithography using proximal probes is known in prior art and may be successfully used in patterning very thin films of conventional and unconventional resists (p.41).

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments presented 11/7/03 have been considered but are not convincing.

Referring to Keana's teachings, Applicant concludes that: Keana does not teach "selecting at least one internal bond from the plurality of molecules". Applicant goes on to argue that the instant invention allows nanoscale patterning that are chemically and spatially precise which Keana's process does not allow.

Applicant's arguments and interpretation of the term "selecting an internal bond" while interesting, are not persuasive. There is nothing in the disclosure which supports the interpretation offered by the Applicant. Applicant does not disclose how the bond to Application/Control Number: 10/032,649 Art Unit: 1756

be reacted is selected. The bond to be reacted would depend on the molecule comprising the "plurality of molecules" in the SAM layer. The chemical specificity arises solely from the selection of the SAM layer applied to the substrate surface (see instant specification #0035) which states that the selection of the molecule depends on the functionality desired. There is no selection of a particular bond within the molecule.

With reference to the argument regarding precision, Examiner notes that this is not recited in the claim.

In arguing against the teachings of Brandow, Applicant states that it does not disclose the step of "selecting at least one internal bond from the plurality of internal molecules". Brandow teaches all the elements of claim 1 as noted in the rejection above. In addition to the portions of the reference cited in the rejection this may also be understood from reference to Fig. 1 alone. A substrate is covered with a monolayer of functionalized molecules of an organosilane; internal bonds of the silane are broken by irradiation in a selective pattern; and another functional molecule is added on the monolayers in a negative tone graft. As shown above Brandow teaches selective modification of the monolayer. Brandow teaches both chemical specificity and spatial resolution that have been argued by the Apolicant but not included in the claims.

#### Conclusion

11. As stated in the earlier rejections the disclosure and the claims are too generic to be unobvious. As noted in the instant non-specific disclosure: (a) the substrate may be any substrate " including without limitation silicon, silicon oxide, gold, silver, copper, gallium arsenide, aluminum oxide, titanium oxide, metals, semiconductors, superconductors and insulators"; (b) the molecules may be any molecule "depending upon the chemical functionalization sought" and may be any number of layers thick and need not be uniform (c) the reaction may be brought about by any means including "photons, electrons, ions, excited atoms or molecules, heat, friction, mechanical contact or electrochemistry". Similarly the claims recite forming a plurality of molecules on a substrate surface and selectively reacting the internal bonds. Almost any chemical reaction on any solid surface would meet the elements of the instant invention as claimed. However the cited references teach not only all the elements of the claims but also the only specific example provided in the disciosure viz. thiolate patterning on a Au substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kripa Sagar whose telephone number is 571-272-1392. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

St. 6-1/2